# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

This Document Relates To:  SHORT FO COMPLAD JURY DEN  DANIEL RUFO and JANE RUFO,  PLAINTIFFS,  v.  HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP.	17-md-2768-IT
This Document Relates To:  SHORT FO COMPLAD JURY DEN  DANIEL RUFO and JANE RUFO,  PLAINTIFFS,  v.  HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP.	
DANIEL RUFO and JANE RUFO,  PLAINTIFFS,  v.  HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP.	1:20-cv-10134
DANIEL RUFO and JANE RUFO,  PLAINTIFFS,  v.  HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP.  DEFENDANTS.	INT AND
v. ) HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP. )	
HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP.	
)	
DEFENDANTS )	
)	

1. Plaintiffs, Daniel Rufo and Jane Rufo, state and bring this civil action in MDL No. 2768, entitled *In Re: Stryker LFIT V40 Femoral Head Products Liability Litigation*. Plaintiffs are filing this Short Form Complaint as permitted by this Court's Amended Case Management Order #2, dated October 23, 2017.

#### PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Plaintiff, Daniel Rufo, is a resident and citizen of the State of Florida and claims damages as set forth below.
  - 3. Plaintiff's Spouse, Jane Rufo, is a resident and citizen of the State of

Florida, and claims damages as set forth below.

5.

4. Venue of this case is appropriate in the United States District Court, Middle District of Florida. Plaintiff states that but for the Order permitting directly filing into the District of Massachusetts pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 2, Plaintiff would have filed in the United States District Court, Middle District of Florida. Therefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests that at the time of transfer of this action back to the trial court for further proceedings that this case be transferred to the above referenced District Court.

Plaintiff brings this action [check the applicable designation]:

\_\_\_\_\_\_ On behalf of himself;
\_\_\_\_\_\_ of the \_\_\_\_\_\_ of the \_\_\_\_\_ having been
duly appointed as the \_\_\_\_\_\_ by the \_\_\_\_\_ Court of \_\_\_\_\_. Acopy of the Letters of Administration for a wrongful death claim is
annexed hereto if such letters are required for the commencement ofsuch a claim by the Probate, Surrogate or other appropriate court ofthe jurisdiction of the decedent. [Cross out if not applicable.]

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

Allegations as to **Left** Implant/Explant Surgery(ies):

- 6. Plaintiff was implanted with an LFIT Anatomic CoCr V40 Femoral Head on his <u>left</u> hip on or about April 21, 2009 at New England Baptist Hospital in Boston, Massachusetts, by Dr. Robert Miegel.
- 7. Plaintiff was implanted implanted with the following femoral stem during the April 21, 2009 surgery:

	X		Accolade 7	ΓMZF				
Accolade II								
			Other		_(Femoral Ste	m)		
	8.	Plai	intiff had the fe	moral head	at issue expla	nted on July	y 29, 2019 at	Sarasota
Memo	orial H	ospit	al in Sarasota, I	Florida by I	Or. Edward St	olarski.		
			ALLE	GATIONS	AS TO INJU	URIES		
	9.	(a)	Plaintiff claim	ns damages	as a result of	(check all t	hat are appli	cable):
	_ <u>X</u> _		INJU	JRY TO HE	ERSELF/HIM	SELF		
			INJU	JRY TO TH	IE PERSON I	REPRESEN	NTED	
			WRO	ONGFUL D	EATH			
			SUR	VIVORSH	IP ACTION			
	_ <u>X</u> _		ECO	NOMIC LO	OSS			
		(b)	Plaintiff's spo	ouse claims	damages as a	result of (c	heck all that	are
applicable):								
	_ <u>X</u> _		LOS	S OF SERV	VICES			
	_ <u>X</u> _		LOS	S OF CON	SORTIUM			
	10.	Plai	intiff has suffer	red injuries	as a result of	of implantat	tion of the I	Device at
issue	manufa	actur	ed by the Defer	ndants as sl	nall be fully s	et forth in	Plaintiff's ar	nticipated
Amer	nded Co	ompl	aint, as well as	in Plaintiff	's Fact Sheet	and other r	esponsive do	ocuments
provi	ded to t	he D	efendant and a	re incorpora	nted by referen	nce herein.		

issue manufactured by the Defendants as shall be fully set forth in Plaintiff's anticipated

Plaintiff has suffered injuries as a result of the explantation of the Device at

11.

Amended Complaint, as well as in Plaintiff's Fact Sheet and other responsive documents provided to the Defendant and are incorporated by reference herein.

- 12. Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiffs.
- 13. Plaintiffs could not have known that the injuries he/she suffered were as a result of a defect in the Device at issue until after the date the Device was recalled from the market and the Plaintiffs came to learn of the recall.
- 14. In addition, Plaintiff could not have known that he was injured by excessive levels of chromium and cobalt until after the date he had his blood drawn and he was advised of the results of said blood-work and the fact that those blood work abnormalities were attributable to a defect in the Device at issue.

### **CASE-SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS AND THEORIES OF RECOVERY**

15. The following claims and allegations are asserted by Plaintiff(s) and are herein adopted by reference (check all that are applicable):

_ <u>X</u>	COUNT I - NEGLIGENCE;
_ <u>X</u>	COUNT II - NEGLIGENCE PER SE;
_X	COUNT III - STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY - DEFECTIVE DESIGN;
_ <u>X</u>	COUNT IV - STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY – MANUFACTURING DEFECT;
_ <u>X</u>	COUNT V - STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY- FAILURE TO WARN;
X	COUNT VI - BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY;

<u>X</u>	COUNT VII- BREACH OF WARRANTY AS TO MERCHANTABILITY;				
X	COUNT VIII - BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTIES;				
_ <u>X</u>	COUNT IX - VIOLATION OF MASSACHUSETTS CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT				
<u>X</u>	COUNT X – VIOLATION OF CONSUMER FRAUD AND/OR UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES UNDER STATE LAW;				
_ <u>X</u>	COUNT XI - NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION				
<u>X</u>	COUNT XII - LOSS OF CONSORTIUM				
<u>X</u>	COUNT XIII – UNJUST ENRICHMENT				
	COUNT XIV – WRONGFUL DEATH				
<u>X</u>	COUNT XV- PUNITIVE DAMAGES				
In addition to the above, Plaintiffs assert the following additional causes of action					
under applicable state law:					

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages requested and according to proof;
- 2. For all applicable statutory damages of the state whose laws will govern this action;

- 3. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs;
- 4. For prejudgment interest and costs of suit;
- 5. For restitution and disgorgement of profits; and,
- 6. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Date: \_January 23, 2020\_\_\_\_ Respectfully submitted,

#### **MESHBESHER & SPENCE**

/s/ Ashleigh E. Raso
Ashleigh E. Raso (MN #0393353)
Anthony Nemo (MN #221351)
Andrew L. Davick (MN #332719)
1616 Park Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55404
(T) 612-339-9121
(F) 612-339-9121
araso@meshbesher.com
tnemo@meshbesher.com
adavick@meshbesher.com

**Attorneys for Plaintiff**